

Question No.	Question(s)	DDDC Response
1	<p><b>Spatial strategy: proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for sustainable development in a national park</b></p> <p>a Do you agree with the proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for sustainable development?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>The spatial objectives focus on the statutory purposes of National Parks, and places an emphasis on nature conservation and the landscape. It appears to put the development of <b>sustainable communities</b> as set out in the second paragraph as subservient to the statutory purposes. This does not sit comfortably with the National Park Management Plan Aim 4 - Thriving Communities - which are thriving and sustainable places where all generations can live healthy and fulfilled lives. The ambition is to support and promote sustainable communities to conserve and enhance the NP special qualities. Objective 10 &amp; Objective 11 are relevant in relation to creating sustainable development.</p> <p>It is considered that there needs to be more focus in the spatial objectives of achieving sustainable communities with specific reference to the Management Plan in relation to Issue 1</p>
2	<p><b>Spatial Strategy: Delivering national park purposes</b></p> <p>a Have we identified the right policy issues with regard to delivering national park purposes?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>Delivering NP purposes is one aspect of the Local Plan requirements, the second aspect is seeking to ensure that it aids delivery of the MP - One of the statutory purposes relates to <b>fostering social and economic well being</b>, as well as the priority given to environmental protection. This appears to be missing from this Issue, and there should be more emphasis within the policies on fostering social and economic well being.</p>
3	<p><b>Spatial strategy: defining valued landscape character and special qualities</b></p> <p>What additional elements should be included in a Special Quality Key Features list for the local plan?</p>	<p>There needs to be extra emphasis on the delivery of <b>sustainable communities</b> to meet the Management Plan objectives.</p>
4	<p><b>The spatial strategy: settlement tiers</b></p> <p>a Which option do you prefer?</p> <p>b Do you think there is another option?</p> <p>c What are the reasons for your answers?</p>	<p>Option 3 is preferred as this would take a similar approach to that set out in the adopted Derbyshire Dales Local Plan and the approach considered as suitable for the review of the Local Plan. This would allow a proportionate level of development to size of the settlement, and focus on the achievement of sustainable development in the most sustainable communities It makes it much clearer which settlements are suitable for new development, and the scale of development which would be acceptable – provided of course that the resulting policy approach makes this clear.</p>
5	<p><b>Spatial strategy: sites for housing development</b></p> <p>a What is your preferred option?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>Option 2- This would give greater clarity about the extent and scale of development likely to be acceptable in Tier 1 settlements (including Bakewell) and the location of potential new development.</p>
6	<p><b>Spatial Strategy: development boundaries</b></p> <p>a Which is your preferred option?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>Option 3 – The National Park Authority should determine when and where development boundaries are situated otherwise they will either never have them or it will take years for the Neighbourhood Plans to determine them. They should be criteria defined which identify how they are drawn in the plan on a consistent approach.</p>
7	<p><b>Spatial Strategy: protected open space and local green space</b></p> <p>a Do you think that there is 'additional local benefit' to be gained by designating Local Green Space in and on the edge of Peak District settlements?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>There will always be local areas of green space within communities that have some 'value' If there is a reasoned justification for protecting additional areas of open space for transparency firstly the plan should set out the criteria and secondly set out what criteria the site is considered to be judged against.</p>
8	<p><b>Spatial Strategy: sustainable travel</b></p> <p>Thinking about current policy T1 (above), is there anything else we should include in an aspirational sustainable transport land-use policy?</p>	<p>Any public transport service must dovetail in with existing and proposed new development. It should also be deliverable, viable and sustainable i.e. one that endures beyond any limited 'trial' period. If necessary such services should be subsidised.</p>
9	<p><b>Landscape, biodiversity and nature recovery: proposed Local Plan spatial objectives</b></p> <p>a Do you agree with the proposed spatial objectives for landscape, biodiversity and nature recovery?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>The objectives seem appropriate for the National Park, especially given the statutory purposes relate primarily to the protection of the natural environment.</p>
10	<p><b>Landscape and nature recovery</b></p> <p>a Do you agree with the proposed policy approach to nature recovery?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>Again this seems appropriate that development should seek to contribute towards nature recovery as this is the principle enshrined in Biodiversity Net Gain. However care must be taken to ensure that the delivery of nature recovery where not related to development sits outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
11	<p><b>Biodiversity net gain</b></p> <p>a Do you agree that new planning policies for biodiversity net gain should go beyond the 10% mandatory requirement?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>In the National Park, where there are already significant Biodiversity opportunities, establishing evidence to justify this may be difficult. Secondly National Park policies already result in additional costs for development- more than 10% BNG may further exacerbate viability/development costs to the point where it might threaten the viability of development coming forward. Finally as BNG is post application all the LP is likely to be able to do identify this as an aspiration rather</p>

<b>Question No.</b>	<b>Question(s)</b>	<b>DDDC Response</b>
		than be a policy determinant.
12	<b>Development in the Natural Zone</b> a Do you think that current policy (DMC2 above) correctly sets out the exceptional circumstances for development in the Natural Zone? What is the reason for your answer?	There is relatively less part of Derbyshire Dales in the Natural Zone compared to other constituent authorities and are generally remote away from existing communities. The current exceptional circumstances appear to be reasonable, and there does not seem to any obvious additional or alternatives.
13	<b>Whole estate plans</b> a Do you think the new local plan should have a policy for whole estate plans? b What is the reason for your answer?	Whilst the Management Plan supports the delivery of Whole Estate Plans, if prepared by landowners they do not have any formal status or weight in planning terms, unless they are brought into the Local Plan, and subject to public consultation. If required it is considered that this should be the most suitable approach for Whole Estate Plans.
14	<b>Proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for cultural heritage and the built environment</b> a Do you agree with the proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for cultural heritage? b What is the reason for your answer?	In principle the spatial objectives are supported. It is however considered that in circumstances development is essential to achieving sustainable development and a conflict might arise with the spatial objectives that appropriate weight should be given to development when assessed against them, rather than a strict adherence to the enshrined principles.
15	<b>Heritage assets</b> Please tell us about any issues or concerns you have about our approach to heritage assets.	It is suggested that the local plan will set out a methodology for deciding the value of local heritage assets. This does should be done outside of the Local Plan process and then the Local Plan would then just identify those to which it would apply. The same approach would apply for Local List status.
16	<b>Local list</b> a Do you think that we should have a Local List of non-designated heritage assets? b What is the reason for your answer?	If there is a justification for a local list of non-designated heritage assets, then the process of setting the criteria and identifying what assets would appear on the list should be undertaken outside the Local Plan process, and where appropriate those designated would appear on the Policy Maps, and to which policies in the Local Plan would apply.
17	<b>The conversion of isolated traditional buildings</b> a Do you think the new local plan should contain a specific policy relating to isolated traditional buildings? b What is the reason for your answer?	In principle no objection, particularly where they may be brought into a beneficial use, and that the policy is not so restrictive that it would be impossible for conversion to occur.
18	<b>Proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for climate change and sustainable building</b> a Do you agree with the proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for climate change and sustainable building? b What is the reason for your answer?	No objection to them as set out, however there is need to cross refer to the National Park Management Plan Aim One and Objectives 1 to 3 as these directly relate to Climate Change.
19	<b>Replacement dwellings</b> a Do you agree that embodied carbon should be considered as part of the requirement for high sustainability standards? b Do you think the criteria in current policy DMH9A (above) are correct? c Should new policy specifically address the loss of smaller homes? d What is the reason for your answer?	In principle yes, however this has to be set against the Written Ministerial Statement which limits the extent to which local plan policy can apply energy efficiency targets to developments, and as this is being considered as part of the Building Regulations in 2025 it is considered that this is where the assessment naturally fits. Furthermore it is considered that a factor that should be taken into account in determining what approach to take is the extent to which the National Park Authority has expertise to consider embodied carbon assessments as part of planning applications.
20	<b>Avoiding carbon emissions in development</b> a Do you think that the new local plan should set out in policy the sustainability measures we expect for different types of development? b What specific measure are most appropriate for homes? c What specific measure are most appropriate for farm buildings? d What specific measure are most appropriate for business development? e What is the reason for your answer?	In principle yes, however this has to be set against the Written Ministerial Statement which restricts the extent to which local plan policy can apply energy efficiency targets to developments. Furthermore it is considers that a factor that should be taken into account in determining what approach to take is the extent to which the National Park Authority has resources to consider and enforce more detailed policy requirements.
21	<b>Low carbon and renewable energy development</b> What criteria could we use to identify areas (on a policy map) where renewable energy development is more likely to be acceptable?	It is considered rather than setting criteria in the Local Plan evidence similar to that previously prepared should be gathered to determine what criteria and where they should apply across the whole Plan Area – this would then be presented in the Local Plan as locations where renewable development might be acceptable
22	<b>Carbon capture and storage</b> a Do you think that the new local plan should set out in principle policy support for Carbon Capture and Storage?	Such policies should only be included within the Local Plan where there is certainty that such proposals are likely to come forward over the plan period. If there is no evidence to support whether such proposals are likely to come forward across the plan period then there is no necessity to have such a policy.

Question No.	Question(s)	DDDC Response
	b What is the reason for your answer?	
23	<p><b>Proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for recreation and tourism</b></p> <p>a Do you agree with the proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for recreation and tourism?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	Directing recreation and tourism development to sustainable locations will minimize impact upon the wider National Park and is supported. It is however considered that focusing on hubs should be better defined within the Local Plan and appropriate criteria set out for supporting their development.
24	<p><b>Recreation attractions and hubs</b></p> <p>a Do you think that the new local plan should define 'Recreation Attractions' and 'Recreation Hubs' on a map and develop specific planning policies for those areas?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p> <p>c Which areas/sites do you consider to be Recreation Attractions and Hubs? Examples are shown in Appendix 3: Recreation attractions and hubs (excluding settlements)</p>	Recreation Attractions and Hubs should only be defined on a Policy Map if they are likely to be deliverable during the defined plan period. This is wholly in accordance with the long establish practice and guidance in the NPPF. If there are no definitive proposals likely to come forward during the plan period then criteria that would support their development should be set in the policies in the event that they do come forward.
25	<p><b>Temporary camp sites</b></p> <p>a Do you think that we should apply for an Article 4 Direction to remove permitted development rights for temporary camping and caravan sites?</p> <p>b If yes, should the permitted development right be removed entirely or revert to 28 days as previously?</p> <p>c If yes, what areas should they be removed from?</p> <p>d What problems are being caused by the 60 day permitted development right?</p>	The decision to apply for an Article 4 Direction is not a Local Plan matter, this is decided outside of the Local Plan process. It is suggested that this should be determined by other means.
26	<p><b>Touring camping and caravan sites</b></p> <p>a Do you agree that operation of touring camping and caravan sites should be restricted to certain months of the year in order to address the increased landscape impact in the winter months?</p> <p>b Do you agree that touring camping and caravan sites should be restricted to no more than 28 days per calendar year by any one person?</p> <p>c What is the reason for your answer?</p>	What ever approach is taken needs to be fully justified and evidence presented. There may for example be some areas where landscape impact might be less than others.
27	<p><b>Static caravans, lodges and other permanent structures</b></p> <p>a Do you agree that new policy should restrict static caravans, chalets, lodges and other large, permanent structures used as holiday accommodation?</p> <p>b What exceptions should we make to this principle?</p> <p>c What criteria should we use to ensure that the structures permitted as an exception to this principle, do not harm the National Park's special qualities?</p> <p>d What is the reason for your answer?</p>	Any restrictions on development that could have a beneficial effect to the local economy within the National Park should be proportionate otherwise the social and economic wellbeing that all authorities are required to work towards will not be delivered.
28	<p><b>Proposed Local Plan spatial objectives for housing</b></p> <p>a Do you agree with the proposed spatial objectives?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	In light of the proposed revisions to the NPPF in respect of housing requirements, it is possible that the spatial objectives for housing may well need revising. It is suggested that this requirement should be kept under review pending further advice from the Government. It is considered that in order to achieve sustainable development across the National Park that <b>there should be an increased level of development</b> supported over the plan period. The evidence published suggests that this is the approach being heeded, however, in light of the NPPF proposals <b>this level may not be sufficient.</b>
29	<p><b>Holiday homes and permanent homes</b></p> <p>a Which is your preferred option?</p> <p>b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	The National Park and Derbyshire Dales recently wrote to the Secretary of State indicating our support for the introduction of measure that would bring holiday homes (short term lets) into the scope of the planning regime. It is considered that the management of such features should be introduced ASAP to enable property to become available in the community in the long term. If any preference were to be required it is suggested that Option 2 or Option 3 would be preferable.
30	<p><b>Affordable housing eligibility</b></p> <p>a Do you think that the eligibility criteria for occupation of RSL managed affordable housing (as set out in Policy DMH2) should be widened?</p> <p>b Do you think that the eligibility criteria for privately owned and managed affordable homes (as set out in Policy DMH2) should be widened?</p> <p>c Do you think we should explore new policy on tied accommodation?</p>	<b>(a)</b> Yes the fundamental point here is the 10 year local connection. This is far too restrictive and should be reduced to 5 years. This is the single biggest issue for social landlords and their prospective tenants. Creating a separate set of criteria linked to employment would create an administrative burden for housing staff who would need to assess and consider employment information alongside their normal housing investigations. The simplest and most reliable method would be to reduce the local connection to 5 years. This should also be applied retrospectively to all previous affordable housing schemes. The parish



Question No.	Question(s)	DDDC Response
	d What is the reason for your answer?	<p>first approach is a real issue for some communities and social landlords. Some adjoining villages have very little affordable housing present and so a cascade that involves those villages, significantly disadvantages people waiting for the cascade period to move to the next cascade. Any new system of eligibility ought to be based on housing need rather than geography or parish boundaries. DDDC/HPBC and SMDC all share the same allocation policy and so there is a common approach to assessing housing need that PDNPA can rely upon to appropriately manage the allocation of scarce resources.</p> <p><b>(b)</b> Privately owned and managed affordable homes should have the same requirement as social housing  <b>(c)</b> No comment</p>
31	<p><b>Affordable housing - local connection</b>  <i>Please note, these questions apply specifically to new-build affordable homes built predominantly on green field sites.</i></p> <p>a Do you think we should retain or reduce the 10-year local connection requirement for first occupation of RSL homes?  b Do you think we should retain or reduce the 10-year local connection for private developers, including people building their own homes?  c Do you think we should redefine 'local connection' for people wishing to return to the Peak District? If so, what criteria should we use?  d Do you think there should be any exception to the requirement for a local connection? (For example no local connection is needed for new homes that are needed to support farming, forestry and other rural enterprises.)  e What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>(a) Reduce the 10 year connection for first and future occupation. This is vital for the longevity of social housing in the PDNPA and the continued interest of RPs who might consider developing in the PDNPA area  (b) Yes, reduce so as to be consistent with social housing  (c) Consider 5 years in 10  (d) The long term provision of services to support older people needing care is one area the PDNPA may wish to consider  (e) The issue organisations collectively need to address, is the desirability of housing associations developing in the PDNPA. The 10 year Local connection and cascade are considerable negative factors for associations to take into account. Other factors such as the restrictive s106 and build costs add further layers of complexity and doubt for associations. Unless some of these issues are relaxed, small rural exception sites will no longer be considered by RPs. There is a very real risk that RP interest will come to attend, except perhaps in Bakewell where economies of scale mean schemes are slightly more viable.</p>
32	<p><b>Affordable housing - house size</b></p> <p>a Do you think that the new Local Plan should retain a size restriction on new affordable homes?  b Should the same standards be applied to RSL and privately developed homes?  c What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>(a) No  (b) Yes  (c) In section 7.3 the PDNPA define affordable housing as “housing for sale or rent, for those whose needs are not met by the market”. This makes no reference to size. Affordable housing for rent and shared ownership are managed by housing associations who are regulated by the Regulator of Social Housing and Homes England. The ability of people to afford the rents and equity stakes of affordable housing are driven by other factors. The size of an affordable home provided by an RP is not one of the main considerations. The Nationally Described Space Standard (NDSS) is the standard method for ensuring those who have the least housing choices are provided with a minimum standard that promotes a healthy family home where families can eat together and children can grow and study in quiet spaces within the home. Relying on fixed floor areas is an outdated way of trying to manage the affordability of social housing and does not reflect the needs of modern families. NDSS also has more categories of sizes, so a 2 bed house can be a 2 bed 3 person or 2 bed 4 person, each with different sizes.</p>
33	<p><b>Proposed spatial objectives for shops, services and community facilities</b></p> <p>a Do you agree with the proposed spatial objectives?  b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>Yes – these meet with the principles of achieving sustainable development. It is however considered that there needs to be more cross reference to the objectives of the Management Plan in relation to thriving communities.</p>
34	<p>a Do you agree with our proposal to expand the list of protected community services and facilities as described above?  b What is the reason for your answer?</p>	<p>Yes – the expanded list are important elements of a sustainable community.</p>
35	<p><b>Protection of Bakewell's special character and setting</b></p> <p>a Should the new local plan include a Bakewell specific chapter that would include policy on landscape setting and special character?  b What is the reason for your answer?  c Are the qualities that make up Bakewell's special character properly included in Appendix 2: Special Quality Key Features?  d If not, what else should be included?</p>	<p>The Plan needs to determine whether Bakewell is a location that should be the focus for new development given its status as a Market Town and inherently more sustainable than other settlements across the NP. It is not clear why there should be a ‘character’ focus on Bakewell when it not being applied elsewhere - this should be justified and used consistently elsewhere across the plan area. This suggests that <b>this policy approach is being used to actually limit development in Bakewell when it is actually the most sustainable settlement in the National Park.</b></p>
36	<p><b>Proposed spatial objectives for the rural economy</b></p>	<p>Yes – the objectives provide encouragement for economic development across the National Park, however it is</p>

<b>Question No.</b>	<b>Question(s)</b>	<b>DDDC Response</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Do you agree with the proposed spatial objectives?</li> <li>b What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	<b>imperative that the supporting policies in the Local Plan do not have the effect of restricting development important for the local economy</b> by way of for example imposing additional costs due to the design, and materials of construction.
37	<p><b>Extensions to existing businesses</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a What other tests (other than those listed in current policy DME7 B above) should we apply to ensure that the piecemeal expansion of businesses does not harm the landscape and the special qualities of the National Park?</li> <li>b What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	The policy approach needs to support economic development in the National Park in order to meet the statutory duty of social and economic well being, as well as the aims and aspirations of the National Park Management Plan. Where existing Economic Development in situ it is considered better to support expansion (of correct scale) rather than potentially support new development in sensitive locations.
38	<p><b>Conversion of whole farmsteads to new uses</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Do you agree that the conversion of whole farmsteads (that are no longer in farming use) for business or residential use should only take place if the farmstead is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. in or on the edge of settlements physically well related to a settlement</li> <li>ii. in a sustainable location with direct and close access to a main road?</li> </ul> </li> <li>b Do you agree that the conversion of whole farmsteads (that are no longer in farming use) that are located in the open countryside and away from settlements, is only acceptable for low intensity uses, for example holiday accommodation?</li> <li>c What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	The conversion of farmsteads provide opportunities for the reuse of buildings for a beneficial use for the local economy. However farmsteads are vary in location, and size, and just because they are a little way from existing development does not means that they are inappropriate for conversion. The suitability of each for conversion should be taken on its merits otherwise the potential benefits to the local economy will be limited. A flexible approach should therefore be taken.
39	<p><b>Primary business</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Do you think we should continue to require in all circumstances the primary land management business to retain ownership and control of the site and building when redundant farm buildings are converted for business use?</li> <li>b If we decide that a stand-alone business is acceptable, what are the risks associated with this?</li> <li>c If we decide that a stand-alone business is acceptable, what constraints should be applied?</li> <li>d What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	No – by seeking to have ownership across the whole of the site may stifle and not result in beneficial Economic Development. There would appear to be no land use planning reason for it when other measures can control the activities that take place in such buildings.
40	<p><b>Proposed spatial objectives for travel and transport</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Do you agree with the proposed spatial objectives?</li> <li>b What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	The overall tenet of the spatial objectives is supported. However it is considered imperative that the development strategy adopted in the Local Plan support the delivery of sustainable and thriving communities, where opportunities to access services and employment opportunities are close to where residents live.
41	<p><b>Visitor car parking</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Which option do you prefer?</li> <li>b What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	Visitor parking should be provided where there is a justified reasons, be it for economic development purposes or for community use. In this regards it is considered that Option1 is the most appropriate.
42	<p><b>Safeguarding and protecting multi-user trails on former railway routes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a What is your preferred option?</li> <li>b What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	The District Council’s formal view as agreed at Council in March 2021 supports those of the National Park and Derbyshire County Council which have previously raised concerns about the reinstatement of the railway line on the Monsal Trail, as this is an important part of the strategic cycling network for Derbyshire.
43	<p><b>Road building schemes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Do you think new policy should support road-building schemes where the primary purpose is local capacity enhancement?</li> <li>b What is the reason for your answer?</li> <li>c What are the exceptional circumstances that might justify this?</li> </ul>	It is considered that new road building should only be brought forward where it supports the overall objective of delivering thriving and sustainable communities, otherwise it has the potential for increasing CO2 emissions from traffic and transport.
44	<p><b>Overnight parking for campervans</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Do you think we should create new policy to support the use of certain car parks for overnight stays in campervans and/or holiday homes?</li> <li>b What is the reason for your answer?</li> </ul>	It is considered that does not need to be included as par of the Local Plan, and can be controlled by other measures such as landowner permissions that are not related to land use planning.

<b>Question No.</b>	<b>Question(s)</b>	<b>DDDC Response</b>
45	<b>Air transport</b> a What is your preferred option? b Do you think that the National Park Authority should map 'no-fly' zones for commercial drones to protect the environmentally sensitive areas of the National Park (these are likely to encompass the Natural Zone)? c What is the reason for your answer?	Again it is considered that no-fly zones for drones would be covered by other legislation and would be set out under other legislation not necessarily related to planning. Option 2 would seem more appropriate as it minimises the impact upon the purposes of the National Park, yet at the same time it seeks to ensure that in certain circumstances such as search and rescue facilities are accommodated.
46	<b>Proposed spatial objectives for utilities</b> a Do you agree with the proposed local plan spatial objective for utilities? b What is the reason for your answer?	In principle it is considered that this is an appropriate approach, with the exception that there maybe need to be an exception clause to allow for infrastructure that has some beneficial importance that might outweigh any statutory purposes ?
47	<b>New or expanded reservoirs</b> a What is your preferred option? b What is the reason for your answer?	If it anticipated that there will be new or expanded reservoirs in the plan area and or the plan period the some form of policy/allocation should be considered appropriate. Otherwise if there isn't likely to be any such facilities brought forward across the plan area then it is considered that there isn't much relevance to allocating a site. Not supporting new reservoirs at all would seem inappropriate given that there is likely to be some justification for the development of such a facility.
48	<b>Local plan spatial objectives for minerals and waste</b> a Do you agree with the proposed local plan spatial objectives for minerals and waste? b What is the reason for your answer?	No Officer Comments – Members can provide their own response to this question.
49	<b>Limestone quarries - extending beyond the 'end date'</b> a Should mineral extraction at existing quarries with remaining reserves be allowed to continue beyond the agreed or imposed end dates? b Should this apply to specific quarries? If so, which ones? c What are the exceptional circumstances that would justify extensions of time for mineral extraction (as this would constitute major development in a national park)? d What is the reason for your answer?	No Officer Comments – Members can provide their own response to this question.
50	<b>Limestone industrial uses</b> a Do you agree with the principle that that the future supply of industrial limestone should come from outside the National Park? b What is the reason for your answer?	No Officer Comments – Members can provide their own response to this question.
51	<b>The future of Hope cement works</b> Taking into account national park purposes, what broad policy principles should be set out in the new local plan, to guide restoration and redevelopment at Hope Cement Works?	No Officer Comments – Members can provide their own response to this question.
52	<b>Stone for building and roofing</b> a Which option do you prefer? b What is the reason for your answer?	No Officer Comments – Members can provide their own response to this question.
53	<b>Ancillary minerals development</b> a Should sites with ancillary processing in the National Park be able to import stone from other quarries in the National Park for processing? b Are there other appropriate locations for the small scale processing of stone won and worked in the National Park? c What is the reason for your answer?	No Officer Comments – Members can provide their own response to this question.
54	<b>Restoration and aftercare</b> a Should new policy on restoration and aftercare have a stronger focus on nature recovery? b Should we require more than the 10% mandatory BNG for Mineral proposals/sites that have potential to achieve greater outcomes? c What is the reason for your answer?	No Officer Comments – Members can provide their own response to this question.